



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Hearing Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/heares

Origins of thalamic and cortical projections to the posterior auditory field in congenitally deaf cats

Blake E. Butler^{a, c, *}, Nicole Chabot^{a, c}, Andrej Kral^{e, f}, Stephen G. Lomber^{a, b, c, d}

^a Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, University of Western Ontario, Canada

^b Department of Psychology, University of Western Ontario, Canada

^c Brain and Mind Institute, University of Western Ontario, Canada

^d National Centre for Audiology, University of Western Ontario, Canada

^e Department of Experimental Otology, Medical University Hannover, Germany

^f AudioNeuroTechnology, Medical University Hannover, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 April 2016

Received in revised form

27 May 2016

Accepted 6 June 2016

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Anatomical connectivity

BDA

Auditory cortex

Crossmodal plasticity

ABSTRACT

Crossmodal plasticity takes place following sensory loss, such that areas that normally process the missing modality are reorganized to provide compensatory function in the remaining sensory systems. For example, congenitally deaf cats outperform normal hearing animals on localization of visual stimuli presented in the periphery, and this advantage has been shown to be mediated by the posterior auditory field (PAF). In order to determine the nature of the anatomical differences that underlie this phenomenon, we injected a retrograde tracer into PAF of congenitally deaf animals and quantified the thalamic and cortical projections to this field. The pattern of projections from areas throughout the brain was determined to be qualitatively similar to that previously demonstrated in normal hearing animals, but with twice as many projections arising from non-auditory cortical areas. In addition, small ectopic projections were observed from a number of fields in visual cortex, including areas 19, 20a, 20b, and 21b, and area 7 of parietal cortex. These areas did not show projections to PAF in cats deafened ototoxically near the onset of hearing, and provide a possible mechanism for crossmodal reorganization of PAF. These, along with the possible contributions of other mechanisms, are considered.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

While much of the way in which different areas of the brain are connected is established genetically, neural plasticity affords the flexibility to adapt in an experience-dependent manner to best perceive those stimuli we encounter most often. In the case of normal development, this process results in auditory, visual, and somatosensory cortices that represent stimuli from each modality with impressive fidelity, and which interact to provide a multi-sensory representation of the world around us. However, in the deaf brain, areas that would normally process sound are reorganized to respond to visual (Neville et al., 1983; Finney et al., 2001, 2003; Lee et al., 2001; Lambertz et al., 2005; Pekkola et al., 2005; Lomber et al., 2010; Meredith et al., 2011; Karns et al., 2012) or

somatosensory stimulation (Levänen et al., 1998; Levänen and Hamdorf, 2001; Allman et al., 2009; Bhattacharjee et al., 2010; Meredith et al., 2011; Karns et al., 2012), offering functional enhancement in the remaining modalities. While there is ample behavioral and electrophysiological evidence for such crossmodal plasticity, the anatomical changes that underlie these effects are poorly understood. To date, a number of detailed anatomical studies have been undertaken to address this issue across auditory cortical areas in the cat (Barone et al., 2013; Kok et al., 2014; Chabot et al., 2015; Wong et al., 2015; Meredith et al., 2016). One area of particular interest is the posterior auditory field (PAF); in the deaf animal, PAF has been shown to be the neural substrate responsible for mediating visual localization of peripherally presented stimuli (Lomber et al., 2010), and moving high-contrast gratings elicit changes in the blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) response in PAF of early-deaf animals (Brown and Lomber, 2012). Moreover, the fractional cortical volume occupied by PAF has been shown to be slightly larger in deaf animals than in hearing animals (Wong et al., 2014). Thus, quantifying the connectivity to deaf PAF may

* Corresponding author. Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, The University of Western Ontario, Medical Sciences Building, Room 216, 1151 Richmond Street North, London, Ontario N6A 5C1, Canada.

E-mail address: bbutler9@uwo.ca (B.E. Butler).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2016.06.003>

0378-5955/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations

A1	primary auditory cortex
A2	second auditory cortex
AAF	anterior auditory field
ABR	auditory brainstem response
AES	anterior ectosylvian sulcus
BDA	biotinylated dextran amine
BOLD	blood oxygenation level dependent
DLS	dorsal lateral suprasylvian area
dPE	dorsal division, posterior ectosylvian cortex
DZ	dorsal zone of auditory cortex
fAES	auditory field of the anterior ectosylvian sulcus
IN	insular cortex

iPE	intermediate division, posterior ectosylvian cortex
LP	lateral posterior nucleus
MGB	medial geniculate body
PAF	posterior auditory field
PES	posterior ectosylvian sulcus
PLLS	posterolateral lateral suprasylvian area
PMLS	posteromedial lateral suprasylvian area
RS	retrosplenial area
SSS	suprasylvian sulcus
T	temporal cortex
VAF	ventral auditory field
VLS	ventral lateral suprasylvian area
VPAF	ventroposterior auditory field
vPE	ventral division, posterior ectosylvian cortex

help elucidate the structural basis for crossmodal reorganization.

In a recent paper we compared the thalamocortical and cortico-cortical projections to PAF in normal hearing, early-deaf, and late-deaf cats (Butler et al., 2016). While some small-scale differences were observed, the results overwhelmingly suggested an absence of substantial change in the pattern of neurons projecting to PAF following hearing loss. This is of considerable interest in light of behavioral evidence that PAF is functionally reorganized following early hearing loss to subservise visual localization in the peripheral field (Lomber et al., 2010). Indeed, this anatomical finding is in accordance with recent examinations of other auditory cortical fields, demonstrating little or no change in the overall pattern of labelled neurons following deafness (Barone et al., 2013; Chabot et al., 2015; Meredith et al., 2016). Taken together, these findings suggest that functional crossmodal reorganization is not the result of substantial increases in the number of transcortically projecting neurons from the remaining sensory cortices. Rather, it suggests projections that exist in the normal hearing brain are modified to become functionally relevant; this may occur via decreased inhibition, increased synaptic efficiency, unmasking of projections that do not normally produce suprathreshold input, changes in top-down influences, or by some other mechanism. Alternatively, it has been proposed that crossmodal plasticity may occur subcortically, such that projections originating in what would normally be considered auditory brainstem, midbrain, and thalamic nuclei are reorganized to relay information related to non-auditory stimuli (e.g. Dehmel et al., 2008; Allman et al., 2009).

Despite this mounting evidence for structural preservation of projections to auditory cortical fields in the deaf, the possibility remains that brief exposure to sound might be sufficient to drive the development of normal patterns of connectivity, even in models of early-onset deafness. While the duration of hearing in these ototoxically-deafened animals is often quite short, this remains a significant concern given evidence from the visual system that even very brief periods of experience (on the order of hours) can prove sufficient to trigger maturation in visual cortex (e.g. Mower et al., 1983; Rosen et al., 1992). Thus, the current study seeks to further explore the influence of hearing loss on the pattern of neurons projecting to PAF, and addresses whether the limited hearing experience of early-deaf animals confounds the interpretation of such effects. Here, we characterize the pattern of labelled cells following retrograde tracer injection into PAF of congenitally deaf white cats (see Kral and Lomber, 2015 for review). These animals have a congenital form of deafness in which total inner hair cell degeneration occurs, but spiral ganglion cells are largely preserved (Heid et al., 1998). Importantly, this occurs prior to the onset of hearing, such that deaf white cats provide a model in which to

examine the anatomy of the naïve auditory system.

2. Materials and methods

Three female deaf white cats (aged 4.2 yrs, 8.5 yrs, and 10.1 yrs) were examined in the current study. In each case, deafness was confirmed by the absence of auditory brainstem responses. All surgical procedures were conducted in accordance with the Canadian Council on Animal Care's *Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals* (Olfert, 1993) and were approved by the University of Western Ontario Animal Use Subcommittee of the University Council on Animal Care. The remaining methodology involved in the current experiment is described in detail by Butler et al. (2016). The principle details are outlined briefly below.

2.1. Tracer deposits

Injections of biotinylated dextran amine (BDA) were made into the left hemisphere of each animal. On the day of surgery, sodium pentobarbital (25 mg/kg to effect, i.v) was administered to induce general anesthesia and the animal was prepared for surgery using antiseptic procedures. An incision was made along the midline and a craniotomy was made that extended from the anterior ectosylvian sulcus (AES) to the middle of the posterior ectosylvian gyrus, and from the dorsal tip of PES to the dorsal tip of the sylvian sulcus. BDA (3000 kMW, [10%], Vector Laboratories) was pressure injected through a glass pipette (Nanolitre, 2000; World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL). BDA 3k is a robust retrograde tracer (Reiner et al., 2000) that is more sensitive than horseradish peroxidase (HRP), and has been shown to be more reliable than biocytin or neurobiotin (Lapper and Bolam, 1991). All three animals received the same pattern of injections with three penetrations spaced along the length of PAF. At each, a deposit of 150 nL was made at a depth of 1200 μ m to target deep cortical layers, and a second deposit of 150 nL was made at 500 μ m to target superficial cortical layers. This pattern of injections has been used previously to ensure that neurons across all cortical layers are exposed to BDA, with tracer spread limit to the confines of PAF (Figs. 1 and 2a). At the conclusion of the procedure, the craniotomy was closed with dental acrylic anchored to stainless steel skull screws and sodium pentobarbital administration was discontinued.

2.2. Perfusion and tissue processing

Two weeks after BDA injection, each animal was deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (30 mg/kg, i.v.). The animal was then intracardially perfused through the ascending aorta with




Fig. 1. Lateral view of the cerebrum post-perfusion showing injection locations (asterisks) along PAF (A). Panel B shows an enlargement of the exposed PAF with the injecting pipette positioned at the most ventral injection site. The perimeter of PAF is noted by a black dashed line. For abbreviations, see list.





Fig. 2. Representative photomicrographs depicting the spread of BDA within PAF (A), and subsequently labelled cortical (B) and thalamic neurons (C). Borders between cortical areas are indicated with dashed lines in panel A. For abbreviations, see list. In all cases, scale bar = 1 mm.

physiological saline, 4% paraformaldehyde, and 10% sucrose to cryoprotect the tissue. The head was mounted in a stereotaxic frame and the brain was exposed and blocked in the coronal plane at Horsley-Clarke level A27. Brains were frozen and a total of 6 series of 60 μm serial coronal sections were collected. One series was processed to reveal the presence of BDA using the avidin-biotin peroxidase method with nickel-cobalt intensification (Veenman et al., 1992). In order to visualize laminar and areal borders, three of the remaining series were processed using the monoclonal antibody SMI-32 (Sternberger and Sternberger, 1983), cytochrome oxidase (Payne and Lomber, 1996), and cresyl violet to label Nissl bodies. The remaining two series were spares, and were processed using the above methods as necessary. All sections were mounted on gelatin-coated slides, air dried, cleared, and cover-slipped.

2.3. Data analysis

BDA-labelled neurons were visualized using a Nikon E600 microscope. Tissue sections and injection sites were outlined and an unbiased and comprehensive count of labelled neurons was

completed using NeuroLucida software (MBF Bioscience, Williston, VT). Only those neurons in which the entirety of the cell soma was labelled were included; partial cell bodies or dendritic branches alone were not quantified, providing a conservative estimate of labelled cells. The full thickness of each section was examined by taking focal levels throughout the z-plane. Labels were assigned to cortical areas based on each animal's cytoarchitectural, sulcal, and gyral landmarks defining areal borders. Patterns of SMI-32 labelling differ by area in auditory and visual cortex, allowing for demarcation of areal borders (van der Gucht et al., 2001; Mellott et al., 2010), and these patterns are conserved following hearing loss (Wong et al., 2014). Somatosensory areas can be distinguished from auditory cortical fields by a marked increase in SMI-32 reactivity (van der Gucht et al., 2001), while borders between somatosensory areas are primarily delineated using Nissl labelling profiles (Clascá et al., 1997). As supported by the cytoarchitecture of the visual system (van der Gucht et al., 2001), borders between the posterior lateral suprasylvian areas (PLLS and PMLS), and the dorsal and ventral lateral suprasylvian areas (DLS and VLS) of visual cortex were placed on the lateral bank of the middle suprasylvian sulcus and the dorsal bank of the posterior limb of the suprasylvian sulcus,






Fig. 4. Lateral (A) and medial (B) depictions of the cat brain. The auditory (red), visual (purple), somatomotor (green) and other (blue) cortical areas analyzed in this study are highlighted. The bottom of each sulcus is represented by a white line and cortex in the bank is grey. Dashed lines indicate cortical area borders. Dorsal-ventral and antero-posterior axes are indicated at bottom. For abbreviations, see list.

beyond the thalamus were not included in this analysis. This conversion allows for meaningful comparisons to be made across animals despite variability in tracer uptake and immunohistochemical processing that make interpreting raw cell counts difficult (raw cell counts are provided in Table 1). Within the auditory cortex, projections arose from each of the other 12 fields identified in the cat (Fig. 5), with the largest originating in the primary auditory cortex (A1). Smaller projections arose from the second auditory cortex (A2), the anterior auditory field (AAF), the dorsal, intermediate, and ventral divisions of the posterior ectosylvian auditory cortex (dPE, iPE, & vPE), the dorsal zone of auditory cortex (DZ), the auditory field of the anterior ectosylvian sulcus (fAES), the insular and temporal cortices (IN & T), ventral auditory field (VAF), and ventral posterior auditory field (VPfAF).

Labelled cells were observed across a number of visual cortical fields (Fig. 6). These areas included primary visual cortex (Area 17), areas 19, 20a, 20b and 21b, the anterior ectosylvian visual area (AEV), the anterolateral and posterolateral lateral suprasylvian areas (ALLS & PLLS), the cingulate visual area (CVA), the posterior aspect of the posterior ectosylvian gyrus (EPp), and the posterior suprasylvian area (PS). Small projections also arose from secondary somatosensory areas (S2 & S2m) and the fourth somatosensory cortex (S4) as well as areas 7, 35, and 36, the anterior and posterior cingulate cortices (CGA & CGP), and the retrosplenial area (RS);

Table 1

Raw cell counts by cortical and thalamic area. For abbreviations, see list.

Cortical area	Mean cell count (mean \pm S.D.)
A1	167.0 \pm 80.7
A2	91.0 \pm 92.0
AAF	25.7 \pm 15.3
dPE	83.0 \pm 90.8
DZ	66.0 \pm 33.8
fAES	23.7 \pm 11.6
IN	5.3 \pm 6.7
iPE	46.7 \pm 34.5
T	16.0 \pm 10.4
VAF	44.3 \pm 54.4
VPfAF	85.0 \pm 66.8
vPE	23.3 \pm 10.1
17	1.3 \pm 1.5
19	2.7 \pm 2.5
20a	2.7 \pm 1.2
20b	0.7 \pm 0.6
21b	4.7 \pm 7.2
AEV	3.3 \pm 2.9
ALLS	26.0 \pm 14.9
CVA	1.3 \pm 1.2
EPp	35.3 \pm 31.2
PLLS	3.7 \pm 2.5
PS	9.3 \pm 7.2
S2	17.3 \pm 20.5
S2m	23.7 \pm 31.9
S4	17.3 \pm 21.0
7	1.3 \pm 0.6
35	1.0 \pm 1.0
36	8.7 \pm 5.7
CGA	0.3 \pm 0.6
CGP	0.7 \pm 1.2
RS	1.3 \pm 0.6
Thalamic area	Mean cell count (mean \pm S.D.)
LP	23.0 \pm 15.0
MGBd	72.0 \pm 29.7
MGBm	56.7 \pm 16.0
MGBv	199.7 \pm 112.5

Fig. 7). Thalamic labelling was restricted to the dorsal, medial, and ventral divisions of the medial geniculate body (MGBd, MGBm, & MGBv) and the lateral posterior nucleus (LP; Fig. 8).

In order to compare the relative size of the projection arising from each modality, cells labelled by retrograde injection into PAF were classified as either thalamic in origin, or as arising from auditory, visual, somatosensory, or other cortical areas. The total number of cells of each type was then divided by the total number of labelled cells in each individual animal to determine the proportion of labelled cells from each modality. Fig. 9A shows that in the congenitally deaf animal, neurons projecting to PAF originate overwhelmingly in auditory thalamic and cortical areas, with 12.9% of labelled cells arising from non-auditory cortical areas.

4. Discussion

4.1. Summary & comparison to existing data

The current study quantifies the projections to the posterior auditory field in the congenitally deaf cat. A summary of substantial projections from cortical and thalamic fields throughout the brain is provided in Fig. 10A; labelling patterns previously outlined for early-deaf and normal hearing animals are presented in panels B and C (adapted from Butler et al., 2016). While there are some small differences in the magnitude of projections, the overall pattern is qualitatively very similar between groups.

Projections to PAF were also considered at the level of modality of origin. These data are presented along with modality-level




Fig. 5. Histogram illustrating the mean proportion of labelled neurons projecting from areas in the ipsilateral auditory cortex. The y-axis represents the percent of all labelled neurons in the ipsilateral hemisphere. Auditory areas projecting to PAF are listed along the x-axis. Error bars show the standard error of the mean. For abbreviations, see list.




Fig. 7. Histogram illustrating the mean proportion of labelled neurons projecting from areas in the ipsilateral somatosensory cortex (green) and other cortical areas (blue). The y-axis represents the percent of all labelled neurons in the ipsilateral hemisphere. Auditory areas projecting to PAF are listed along the x-axis. Error bars show the standard error of the mean. For abbreviations, see list.

quantifications in the hearing animal in Fig. 9 (panel B adapted from Butler et al., 2016). While only 12.9% of labelled cells in the congenitally deaf animal originated in non-auditory cortical areas, this represents more than a doubling of the number of non-auditory projections in hearing cats.

4.2. Ectopic projections from visual and parietal cortex

Following an injection of BDA into PAF in congenitally deaf cats, labelled cells were observed in a number of cortical areas that do not contain projections to PAF in normal hearing animals (Butler et al., 2016). These fields include visual cortical areas 19, 20a, 20b, and 21b, and area 7 of parietal cortex (Fig. 11). While these projections account for a very small proportion of the overall number of labelled cells in these animals (all <0.3%), they were reliably present across the animals tested in the current study.

This begs the question of whether small projections from these visual cortical fields to PAF might play a role in enhanced visual localization, as documented in deafened cats. Lomber et al. (2010) noted that while deaf and hearing animals localize visual stimuli with similar accuracy near the center of the visual field, deaf

animals are significantly better than normal hearing cats when these same stimuli are presented in the visual periphery. It is expected then, that projections to PAF underlying such a response arise from visually-responsive areas with representations of the peripheral field. Indeed, the visual field representations of areas 20a, 20b, and 21b are broad, extending into the periphery (Fig. 12; Tusa and Palmer, 1980). Conversely, congenitally deaf PAF does not receive a projection from area 21a, where the visual field representation is confined to an area within 20 degrees of the vertical meridian. Cells in area 21b are binocularly responsive, with large receptive fields and strong sensitivity to the directions of drifting gradients (Tardif et al., 2000), and project primarily to areas 20a and 20b (Segraves and Rosenquist, 1982). Based on position within the cortex and receptive field properties, area 21b (along with 21a) appears to be analogous to V4 of the macaque (Payne, 1993), a field which shows enhanced neural responses to visual targets that are selected for foveation, reflecting a serial component of visual search (Bichot et al., 2005).




Fig. 6. Histogram illustrating the mean proportion of labelled neurons projecting from areas in the ipsilateral visual cortex. The y-axis represents the percent of all labelled neurons in the ipsilateral hemisphere. Auditory areas projecting to PAF are listed along the x-axis. Error bars show the standard error of the mean. For abbreviations, see list.




Fig. 8. Histogram illustrating the mean proportion of labelled neurons projecting from areas in the ipsilateral thalamus. The y-axis represents the percent of all labelled neurons in the ipsilateral hemisphere. Auditory areas projecting to PAF are listed along the x-axis. Error bars show the standard error of the mean. For abbreviations, see list.




Fig. 9. Pie charts displaying the relative percentage of labelled neurons projecting to PAF arising from each sensory area of the brain in congenitally deaf (A) and normal hearing animals (B). The percentages of labelled neurons in each region are indicated to the right of each graph.




Fig. 10. Corticocortical and thalamocortical neurons projecting to PAF in congenitally deaf animals (A). Data from early-deaf (B) and hearing animals (C) have been adapted from Butler et al. (2016) for comparison. In each case, the number of labelled cells is represented by the size and color of the circles, with the largest circle representing an area that accounts for 10% or more of the total labelled cells projecting to PAF. In the ventral region of the brain, the cortex has been “removed” to allow for visualization of the location of the medial geniculate body. For abbreviations, see list.

In addition to localizing a visual stimulus, the behavioral measure of crossmodal plasticity observed by Lomber et al. (2010) involved an overt approach response. Accordingly, areas 20a and 20b project to the pontine nuclei, and subsequently to the cerebellum of the cat (Bjaalie, 1989), making these areas a likely contributor of visual information related to overt movement. Based on this pattern of projection, as well as cortical position and interhemispheric connectivity, it has been suggested that areas 20a and 20b are homologues of parahippocampal areas TF and TH in the macaque (Payne, 1993), fields which have been shown to play a significant role in spatial memory (Bachevalier and Nemanic, 2008). In addition, area 7 in the cat is a high-level multisensory area that has been described as modulating activity in the visual cortex during the presentation of stimuli that elicit an action (von Stein et al., 2000). Similarly, in the monkey, this area is related to awareness of the location of the body in relation to its spatial environment, and to directed motor behavior toward a target stimulus (Hyvärinen and Poranen, 1974).

Thus, while the ectopic projections from areas 20a, 20b, 21b, and area 7 to PAF of the congenitally deaf cat are small, they satisfy two presumptive criteria for areas that may contribute to the enhanced localization behavior observed by Lomber et al. (2010): i) they have visual field representations that extend into the periphery, and ii) they are involved in linking the perception of visual target stimuli and a behavioral response.

4.3. Effects of early experience and mechanistic considerations

While previous studies have failed to provide evidence in support of substantial anatomical change following deafness, their conclusions may be limited by the fact that even early-deaf animals experience a brief exposure to sound as a result of the methodology employed to elicit hearing loss. While there are several approaches to generate animal models of hearing loss (see Butler and Lomber, 2013 for review), ototoxic deafening is the predominant method in the study of feline models. The two most popular methodologies include: 1) the one-time administration of an aminoglycoside in combination with a loop diuretic that is infused until evoked responses are abolished (e.g. Xu et al., 1993; Butler et al., 2016); or 2) daily administration of an aminoglycoside from postnatal day 1 until the desired hearing deficit is obtained (e.g. Leake et al., 1997). The former has been shown to be maximally effective in the cat at or after postnatal day nine (Shepherd and Martin, 1995), with co-administration of aminoglycoside and loop diuretic prior to this age resulting in little or no hearing impairment (in reality, the effect appears to be dependent on body weight rather than postnatal age per se). Moreover, because the end-point of this procedure is typically defined by the absence of the auditory brainstem response (ABR), deafening cannot take place before the ear canals open, at or around postnatal day 11. This latter limitation is avoided by a daily aminoglycoside regimen; however, because ototoxicity is related to




Fig. 11. Histogram showing projections to PAF in congenitally deaf animals (white) that were not previously observed in normal hearing animals. An ectopic projection from area 19 was also observed previously in a single early-deaf animal (Butler et al., 2016), and is presented in grey for comparison. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. For abbreviations, see list.

the onset of auditory function (Shepherd and Martin, 1995), the drug is increasingly effective as the cochlea matures throughout the first two weeks of life (e.g. Brugge et al., 1978). That aminoglycosides are maximally effective near the completion of inner ear development is consistent with findings across species, including mice (Chen and Saunders, 1983; Henry et al., 1981) and humans (Bernard, 1981), and typically results in a significant elevation in click-evoked hearing thresholds prior to the opening of ear canals

in the cat, with complete deafening occurring days later. Thus, while both methods of ototoxic deafening provide models of profound, early-onset deafness, neither is capable of addressing changes that occur in the complete absence of stimulus-evoked activity. Fortunately, the deaf white cat provides a model of human congenital deafness, and allows for the quantification of connectivity in the truly naïve auditory cortex.

We have argued that small ectopic projections from visual and multisensory cortical areas to PAF may contribute to enhanced peripheral localization behavior observed in congenitally deaf animals (Lomber et al., 2010). That novel projections from areas 20a, 20b, 21b, and area 7 exist in congenitally deaf cats, but not in animals deafened near the onset of hearing (Butler et al., 2016), suggests that connections between non-auditory cortical areas and PAF are indeed modified by brief periods of early auditory experience. It has been well established that the developing brain undergoes a period of exuberant connectivity that is followed by a refinement period during which transient connections are selectively eliminated (see Innocenti and Price, 2005 for review). Indeed, while a blueprint for connectivity in the auditory cortex is established prior to the onset of hearing, the refinement of this network is experience-dependent (e.g. King and Moore, 2000; Zhang et al., 2001). Moreover, research in the visual system has suggested that even a few hours of patterned input is sufficient to initiate this refinement (e.g. Mower et al., 1983; Rosen et al., 1992). Thus, it is possible that the period of hearing experience that occurs between hearing onset and deafening, even in early-deaf models, may be sufficient to initiate refinement of auditory cortical structure. As a result, transient connections between visual and auditory cortical fields that persist in the congenitally deaf animal may be: i) pruned away entirely, or ii) reduced in number such that the sensitivity of our measurement method is insufficient to quantify these projections.

Despite the absence of ectopic visual projections in early-deaf cats, we have observed visually-evoked BOLD activity in PAF of these animals (Brown and Lomber, 2012). Similarly, the fAES in early-deaf cats has been shown to be behaviorally and electrophysiologically responsive to visual input in the cat (Meredith et al., 2011), despite a lack of novel visual projections (Meredith et al., 2016). These findings suggest that other mechanisms (either in addition to, or in place of ectopic connections between sensory cortices) underlie crossmodal plasticity following sensory loss. Indeed, there is evidence to suggest that crossmodal projections that normally provide subthreshold inputs are unmasked in the




Fig. 12. Visual field representations in visual cortical areas 20a (A), 20b (B), 21a (C), and 21b (D), as adapted from Tusa and Palmer (1980; their Fig. 5). Areas to which neurons are responsive are shaded in grey. The vertical and horizontal meridians are represented by solid and dashed bold lines, respectively.

absence of sensory stimulation (e.g. Théoret et al., 2004), or that the synaptic density and/or efficacy of these connections are increased in the deaf (e.g. Clemo et al., 2016). It is likely that some combination of these mechanisms gives rise to crossmodal plasticity and resultant compensatory behaviors.

5. Conclusions

Following an injection of BDA into the posterior auditory field of congenitally deaf cats, the pattern of labelled cells throughout the brain is largely similar to that of hearing animals. When considered at the modality level, there are more than twice as many non-auditory projections to PAF than in hearing animals (Fig. 9), driven primarily by an increase in the number of labelled cells in visual cortical areas. While these cells were spread throughout a number of areas, projections were observed in areas 20a, 20b, and 21b – areas that do not project to PAF in hearing animals. The presence of these ectopic projections, along with a projection arising from area 7 in parietal cortex, has implications both for the effect of hearing loss on crossmodal connectivity to PAF, and for the consequences of very brief periods of early auditory exposure. These novel projections arise from areas that are typically involved in visual localization and response behaviors, and thus, are strong candidates to underlie enhanced localization in the deaf; however, functional experiments targeting these visual cortical fields and anterograde tracing experiments capable of quantifying synapses between visual cortical areas and PAF are necessary to fully understand the nature of anatomical change underlying functional enhancement.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Pam Nixon for technical and surgical assistance. We gratefully acknowledge the support of the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (GER).

References

- Allman, B.L., Keniston, L.P., Meredith, M.A., 2009. Adult deafness induces somatosensory conversion of ferret auditory cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 5925–5930.
- Bachevalier, J., Nemanic, S., 2008. Memory for spatial location and object-place associations area differently processed by the hippocampal formation, parahippocampal areas TH/TF and perirhinal cortex. *Hippocampus* 18, 64–80.
- Barone, P., Lacassagne, L., Kral, A., 2013. Reorganization of the connectivity of cortical field DZ in congenitally deaf cat. *PLoS One*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060093>.
- Bernard, P.A., 1981. Freedom from ototoxicity in aminoglycoside treatment in neonates: a mistaken notion. *Laryngoscope* 91, 1985–1994.
- Bhattacharjee, A., Ye, A.J., Lisak, J.A., Vargas, M.G., Goldreich, D., 2010. Vibrotactile masking experiments reveal accelerated somatosensory processing in congenitally blind braille readers. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 14288–14298.
- Bichot, P.B., Rossi, A.F., Desimone, R., 2005. Parallel and serial neural mechanisms for visual search in macaque area V4. *Science* 22, 529–534.
- Bjaalie, J.G., 1989. The corticopontine projection from area 20 and surrounding areas in the cat: terminal fields and distribution of cells of origin as compared to other visual cortical areas. *Neuroscience* 29, 81–93.
- Brown, T.A., Lomber, S.G., 2012. Hemodynamic activity in auditory cortex of deaf cats during visual stimulation. In: *Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Auditory Cortex*, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- Brugge, J.F., Javel, E., Kitzes, L.M., 1978. Signs of functional maturation of peripheral auditory system in discharge patterns of neurons in anteroventral cochlear nucleus of kitten. *J. Neurophysiol.* 41, 1557–1579.
- Butler, B.E., Chabot, N., Lomber, S.G., 2016. Quantifying and comparing the pattern of thalamic and cortical projections to the posterior auditory field in hearing and deaf cats. *J. Comp. Neurol.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cne.24005>.
- Butler, B.E., Lomber, S.G., 2013. Functional and structural changes throughout the auditory system following congenital and early-onset deafness: implications for hearing restoration. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2013.00092>.
- Chabot, N., Butler, B.E., Lomber, S.G., 2015. Differential modification of cortical and thalamic projections to cat primary auditory cortex following early- and late-onset deafness. *J. Comp. Neurology* 523, 2297–2320.
- Chen, C.S., Saunders, J.C., 1983. The sensitive period for ototoxicity of kanamycin in mice: morphological evidence. *Arch. Oto Rhino Laryngol.* 238, 217–223.
- Clascá, F., Llamas, A., Reinoso-Suárez, F., 1997. Insular cortex and neighboring fields in the cat: a redefinition based on cortical microarchitecture and connections with the thalamus. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 384, 456–482.
- Clemon, H.R., Lomber, S.G., Meredith, M.A., 2016. Synaptic basis for cross-modal plasticity: enhanced supragranular dendritic spine density in anterior ectosylvian auditory cortex of the early deaf cat. *Cereb. Cortex* 26, 1365–1376.
- Dehmel, S., Cui, Y.L., Shore, S.E., 2008. Cross-modal interactions of auditory and somatic inputs in the brainstem and midbrain and their imbalance in tinnitus and deafness. *Am. J. Audiol.* 17, S193–S209.
- Finney, E.M., Fine, I., Dobkins, K.R., 2001. Visual stimuli activate auditory cortex in the deaf. *Nat. Neurosci.* 4, 1171–1173.
- Finney, E.M., Clementz, B.A., Hickock, G., Dobkins, K.R., 2003. Visual stimuli activate auditory cortex in deaf subjects: evidence from MEG. *NeuroReport* 14, 1425–1427.
- Heid, S., Hartmann, R., Klinke, R., 1998. A model for prelingual deafness, the congenitally deaf white cat – population statistics and degenerative changes. *Hear. Res.* 115, 101–112.
- Henry, K.R., Chole, R.A., McGinn, M.D., Frush, D.P., 1981. Increased ototoxicity in both young and old mice. *Arch. Oto Rhino Laryngol.* 107, 92–95.
- Hyyriäinen, J., Poranen, A., 1974. Function of the parietal associative area 7 as revealed from cellular discharges in alert monkeys. *Brain* 97, 673–692.
- Innocenti, G.M., Price, D.J., 2005. Enubrance in the development of cortical networks. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 6, 955–965.
- Karns, C.M., Dow, M.W., Neville, H.J., 2012. Altered cross-modal processing in the primary auditory cortex of congenitally deaf adults: a visual-somatosensory fMRI study with a double-flash illusion. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 9626–9638.
- King, A.J., Moore, D.R., 2000. Plasticity of auditory maps in the brain. *Trends Neurosci.* 14, 31–37.
- Kok, M.A., Chabot, N., Lomber, S.G., 2014. Cross-modal reorganization of cortical afferents to dorsal auditory cortex following early- and late-onset deafness. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 522, 654–675.
- Kral, A., Lomber, S.G., 2015. Deaf white cats. *Curr. Biol.* 25, R345–R361.
- Lambertz, N., Gizewski, E.R., de Greiff, A., Forsting, M., 2005. Cross-modal plasticity in deaf subjects dependent on the extent of hearing loss. *Cognitive Brain Res.* 25, 884–890.
- Lapper, S.R., Bolam, J.P., 1991. The anterograde and retrograde transport of neurobiotin in the central nervous system of the rat: comparison with biocytin. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 39, 173–174.
- Leake, P.A., Kuntz, A.L., Moore, C.M., Chambers, P.L., 1997. Cochlear pathology induced by aminoglycoside ototoxicity during postnatal maturation in cats. *Hear. Res.* 113, 117–132.
- Lee, D.S., Lee, J.S., Oh, S.H., Kim, S.K., Kim, J.W., Chung, J.K., Lee, M.C., Kim, C.S., 2001. Cross-modal plasticity and cochlear implants. *Nature* 409, 149–150.
- Levänen, S., Hamdorf, D., 2001. Feeling vibrations: enhanced tactile sensitivity in congenitally deaf humans. *Neurosci. Lett.* 301, 75–77.
- Levänen, S., Jousmäki, V., Hari, R., 1998. Vibration-induced auditory-cortex activation in a congenitally deaf adult. *Curr. Biol.* 8, 869–872.
- Lomber, S.G., Meredith, M.A., Kral, A., 2010. Cross-modal plasticity in specific auditory cortices underlies visual compensations in the deaf. *Nat. Neurosci.* 13, 1421–1427.
- Mellott, J.G., van der Gucht, E., Lee, C.C., Carrasco, A., Winer, J.A., Lomber, S.G., 2010. Areas of the cat auditory cortex as defined by neurofilament proteins expressing SMI-32. *Hear. Res.* 267, 119–136.
- Meredith, M.A., Clemon, H.R., Corley, S.B., Chabot, N., Lomber, S.G., 2016. Cortical and thalamic connectivity of the auditory anterior ectosylvian cortex of early-deaf cats: implications for neural mechanisms of crossmodal plasticity. *Hear. Res.* 333, 25–36.
- Meredith, M.A., Kryklywy, J., McMillan, A.J., Malhotra, S., Lum-Tai, R., Lomber, S.G., 2011. Crossmodal reorganization in the early deaf switches sensory, but not behavioral roles of auditory cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108, 8856–8861.
- Mower, G.D., Christen, W.G., Caplan, C.J., 1983. Very brief visual experience eliminates plasticity in the cat visual cortex. *Science* 221, 178–180.
- Neville, H.J., Schmidt, A., Kutas, M., 1983. Altered visual-evoked potentials in congenitally deaf adults. *Brain Res.* 266, 127–132.
- Olfert, E.D., Cross, B.M., McWilliam, A.A., 1993. *Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals*. Canadian Council on Animal Care.
- Palmer, L.A., Rosenquist, A.C., Tusa, R.J., 1978. The retinotopic organization of lateral suprasylvian visual areas in the cat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 177, 237–256.
- Payne, B.R., 1993. Evidence for visual cortical area homologs in cat and macaque monkey. *Cereb. Cortex* 3, 1–25.
- Payne, B.R., Lomber, S.G., 1996. Age dependent modification of cytochrome oxidase activity in the cat dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus following removal of primary visual cortex. *Vis. Neurosci.* 13, 805–816.
- Pekkola, J., Ojanen, V., Autti, T., Jaaskelainen, I.P., Mottonen, R., Tarkiainen, A., Sams, M., 2005. Primary auditory cortex activation by visual speech: an fMRI study at 3 T. *NeuroReport* 16, 125–128.
- Rauschecker, J.P., Grunau, M., Poulin, C., 1987. Centrifugal organization of direction preferences in the cat's lateral suprasylvian visual cortex and its relation to flow field processing. *J. Neurosci.* 7, 943–958.
- Reiner, A., Veenman, C.L., Medina, L., Jiao, Y., Del Mar, N., Honig, M.G., 2000. Pathway

- tracing using biotinylated dextran amines. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 103, 23–37.
- Rosen, K.M., McCormack, M.A., Villa-Komaroff, L., Mower, G.D., 1992. Brief visual experience induces immediate early gene expression in the cat visual cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 89, 5437–5441.
- Segraves, M.A., Rosenquist, A.C., 1982. The afferent and efferent callosal connections of retinotopically defined areas in cat cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 2, 1090–1107.
- Shepherd, R.K., Martin, R.L., 1995. Onset of ototoxicity in the cat is related to onset of auditory function. *Hear. Res.* 92, 131–142.
- Sternberger, L.A., Sternberger, N.H., 1983. Monoclonal antibodies distinguish phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated forms of neurofilaments in situ. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 80, 6126–6130.
- Tardif, E., Lepore, F., Guillemot, J.-P., 2000. Spatial properties and direction selectivity of single neurons in area 21b of the cat. *Neuroscience* 97, 625–634.
- Théoret, H., Merabet, L., Pascual-Leone, A., 2004. Behavioral and neuroplastic changes in the blind: evidence for functionally relevant cross-modal interactions. *J. de Physique (Paris)* 98, 221–233.
- Tusa, R.J., Palmer, L.A., 1980. Retinotopic organization of areas 20 and 21 in the cat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 193, 147–164.
- Updyke, B.V., 1986. Retinotopic organization within the cat's posterior suprasylvian sulcus and gyrus. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 246, 265–280.
- van der Gucht, E., Vandesande, F., Arckens, L., 2001. Neurofilament protein: a selective marker for the architectonic parcellation of the visual cortex in adult cat brain. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 441, 345–368.
- Veenman, C.L., Reiner, A., Honig, M.G., 1992. Biotinylated dextran amine as an anterograde tracer for single- and double-labeling studies. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 41, 239–254.
- von Stein, A., Chiang, C., König, P., 2000. Top-down processing mediated by interareal synchronization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 97, 14748–14753.
- Wong, C., Chabot, N., Kok, M.A., Lomber, S.G., 2014. Modified areal cartography in auditory cortex following early- and late-onset deafness. *Cereb. Cortex* 24, 1778–1792.
- Wong, C., Chabot, N., Kok, M.A., Lomber, S.G., 2015. Amplified somatosensory and visual cortical projections to a core auditory area, the anterior auditory field, following early- and late-onset deafness. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 523, 1925–1947.
- Xu, S.-A., Shepherd, R.K., Chen, Y., Clark, G.M., 1993. Profound hearing loss in the cat following the single co-administration of kanamycin and ethacrynic acid. *Hear. Res.* 70, 205–215.
- Zhang, L.I., Bao, S., Merzenich, M.M., 2001. Persistent and specific influences of early acoustic environments on primary auditory cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 4, 1123–1130.